



Greenlink USDN urban sustainability directors network

BROADLY BENEFICIAL CLEAN ENERGY PLANNING Developing Processes, Indicators, Scenarios and Policies

for Equitable And Inclusive Decarbonization

Session 1: Stage-setting and baseline data



Engagement

partner





Introductions – training team











Eric Mackres WRI

Ted Wong WRI

Lacey Shaver WRI

Yeou-Rong Jih Greenlink Analytics

Jon Crowe USDN





Introductions – Who are you?

Using Mentimeter

- 1. Point a web-browser to http://menti.com
- 2. Enter this code: 29 59 81
- 3. Answer the question
- (When you've submitted your answer, please keep that browser window open. We'll be using it throughout the session.)

Note on data-use: we might use some of the information you enter for our own project-evaluation purposes, but all data are anonymous. Any data we use cannot be attributed to you or your employer.



3

Poll: Who are you?

Mentimeter poll – word cloud:

Enter three words that describe *your personal values*

Mentimeter poll – word cloud: Enter three words that describe *your community's values*

Discussion: Reflections on differences/similarities

Overall outline of training series

Session 1: Stage-setting and baseline data

- Concepts and methods for equity-focused planning
- Overview of scenariobased planning
- Choosing indicators
- Obtaining data to measure indicators

Session 2: Defining and testing scenarios

- Interpreting and communicating baseline data
- Defining and modeling scenarios
- Evaluating scenarios

- Session 3: Turning scenarios into policies
 - Understanding scenario outcomes
- Identifying and prioritizing and policies and programs
 - Preparing for implementation

Scenario Planning • Select indicators "test exercise" (Level 1 cities only)

- Review baseline
- Select scenarios

 Review scenario outcomes



5

A few definitions

Clean energy

renewable energy + energy efficiency

Scenario planning

planning by comparing alternative plausible futures

Equity

fairness in procedures, the distribution of benefits and burdens, structural accountability, and generational impact

Equity definition from USDN, Equity in Sustainability: An Equity Scan of Local Government Sustainability Programs





Objectives for today

By the end of today's session, you should...

- Understand concepts and methods for equity-focused planning
- Understand the broad outlines of the scenario-based energyplanning process
- Understand the role of indicators in scenario planning and in supporting a stakeholder-driven, equitable planning process
- Feel ready to work with stakeholders in choosing meaningful indicators



Poll: Overall pre-quiz

Mentimeter poll –word cloud:

In single words or short phrases, what are some of your professional goals for your clean energy planning process?

Mentimeter poll – Numerical score 0-10:

How confident are you about your ability to guide an equitable scenario planning process?

Why "Broadly Beneficial"?

Most ambitious, long-term plans fail. *Why?*

Mayors' Climate Protection Agreement

- Launched 2005
- 1,000+ U.S. mayors pledged to 7% GHG reduction from 1990 levels by 2012
- Near 0% success rate
- Top down, executive driven, visible, PR win. Limited community support. Uneven commitment and capacity to build support and implement.

The Greening of Detroit free trees program

- Small program since 1989; expanded tree planting budget and geographic reach in 2014
- Unexpectedly high "no" rate for free trees
- Historical distrust of city government on trees; outsider-driven program outreach
- Cautionary tale on the "arrogance" of white environmentalists. History and representation matter.



9

Why "Broadly Beneficial"?

Plans that succeed with implementation *build a broadly* shared agenda and a broad, sustained base of support.

This requires:

- 1. Meaningful participation of a diversity of communities and institutions in shaping the future
- Recognition of differentials in power, needs and perspectives
- Seeking creative solutions to create new value, focused on what matters to people, not 3. just rearranging business as usual
- 4. Equitable distribution of costs and benefits

Similar findings from management research:

- Diverse teams solve problems more effectively and faster
- The most successful organizations *prioritize inclusivity to create Generative Teams*
- Generative Teams are cognitively diverse and psychologically safe



Our theory of change

Information is power

scenario planning

- Partially frees process from biases & blindspots
- Promotes foresight, not forecasting
- Encourages cross-sector communication
- Structures iterative solution development

Values and voice provide direction

equity lens

- Diversity of voices produces larger solution-space
- Identifying and measuring what matters
- Broader inclusion and more equitable distribution of benefits
- More durable public and political support



A training series about intersections

- Unique content on
 - Quantitative data and future scenarios for stakeholder engagement
 - Spatial data for energy planning
 - Infusing equity-centered indicators/actions into energy planning
- But, because this is an "advanced course," a lot of foundational material is left out
 - Deep dive on equity wrestling with justice and injustice in energy and climate
 - History of exclusion and contested values in city and energy planning
 - Data options and sources
 - Modeling options and tools
- Annotated list with additional resources forthcoming





Components of Equitable Clean Energy Scenario Planning

Planning component	Questions
Goals	What are your government's and/or community's goals?
Structure	Who are your stakeholders? What is their formal role?
Process	How do you assure stakeholders are listened to?
Indicators	What are stakeholders' values and concerns?
Baseline	Where are you now?
Scenarios	What are your possible pathways?What actions do you want to consider?How do you design them equitably?
Impacts	What are the likely outcomes?
Policies and programs	How do you design and implement the actions?
Distributional design	Who benefits? Who pays?



Equity-focused clean energy planning

Why equity matters in energy planning

Economic, social and environmental costs of energy services have greater impact on frontline, marginalized and vulnerable communities

INCOME

Low-income urban households have more than 2x the energy burden of the average urban household: 7.2% vs 3.5% of income¹

RACE

Neighborhoods With More People of Color Pay Higher Energy Bills²

NEIGHBORHOOD

Frontline residents live in neighborhoods with highest environmental health hazards³

HOUSING

Frontline residents live in homes that are older, less efficient and less healthy

1. <u>https://www.aceee.org/research-report/u1602</u>

2. https://www.citylab.com/equity/2019/11/minority-utility-costs-burden-energy-discimination-research/602452/

3. https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ab3b99 & https://www.mdpi.com/2225-1154/8/1/12/htm





Consideration of equity in clean energy policies is growing in some cities TALLE DE CONTRACTOR AND POLICES TOTAL SCORE

Equity-driven actions in City Clean Energy Scorecard

- Equity-driven planning
 - Community engagement
 - Decision making
 - Accountability
- Buildings
 - Incentives and financing for efficient buildings and solar
 - Energy efficiency workforce development
 - Renewable energy workforce development
- Utilities
 - Low-income energy efficiency programs
 - Multifamily energy efficiency programs
- Transportation
 - Low income housing around transit
 - Low income access to high quality transit
 - Subsidized access to efficient transportation options

Y	TOTAL EQUITY SCORE (12 PTS)	СІТҮ	TOTAL EQUITY SCORE (12 PTS)	СІТҮ	T01 (12
NEAPOLIS	8.5	CHULA VISTA	4.5	BAKERSFIELD	2
ATTLE	8	KANSAS CITY	4.5	CHARLOTTE	2
OSTON	7.5	LONG BEACH	4.5	JACKSONVILLE	2
HILADELPHIA	7.5	NEW ORLEANS	4.5	LOUISVILLE	2
ROVIDENCE	7.5	ROCHESTER	4.5	NEW HAVEN	2
ASHINGTON	7.5	SAN DIEGO	4.5	TULSA	2
JSTIN	7	AURORA	4	BIRMINGHAM	1.5
HICAGO	7	BRIDGEPORT	4	LAS VEGAS	1.5
OS ANGELES	7	CINCINNATI	4	MESA	1.5
ALTIMORE	6.5	COLUMBUS	4	МІАМІ	1.5
EW YORK	6.5	DALLAS	3.5	NASHVILLE	1.5
RLANDO	6.5	DETROIT	3.5	SALT LAKE CITY	1.5
ORTLAND	6.5	GRAND RAPIDS	3.5	OKLAHOMA CITY	1
ARTFORD	6	HOUSTON	3.5	ST. PETERSBURG	1
ILWAUKEE	6	KNOXVILLE	3.5	VIRGINIA BEACH	1
AKLAND	6	MEMPHIS	3.5	MCALLEN	0.5
HOENIX	6	NEWARK	3.5	ОМАНА	0.5
T. PAUL	6	ST. LOUIS	3.5	HENDERSON	0
ENVER	5.5	TUCSON	3.5	RENO	0
ONOLULU	5.5	WORCESTER	3.5		
ALEIGH	5.5	FORT WORTH	3		
AN JOSÉ	5.5	SACRAMENTO	3		
UFFALO	5	SAN ANTONIO	3		
LEVELAND	5	ТАМРА	3		
ITTSBURGH	5	EL PASO	2.5		
IVERSIDE	5	INDIANAPOLIS	2.5		
AN FRANCISCO	5	RICHMOND	2.5		
TLANTA	4.5	ALBUQUERQUE	2		



UITY SCORE

Three kinds of equity & inclusion





Integrating Clean Energy Goals with an Equity Vision

- Clean energy goals are defined differently in different cities
- Stakeholders have their own primary interests

100% zero-100% carbon energy 80% decrease in renewable energy-related energy emissions Affordable Economic Jobs arowth homes *Emissions* Better Health reduction neighborhoods

 Planning processes with *clean energy as the "what"* can be designed with *equity lens as the "how"*

Example vision and priorities:

Priorities

100% of Atlantans have a right to 100% clean energy

- **01** Energy equity must be a priority
- **02** Investments in energy efficiency must be increased
- **03** Local investments in renewable energy must be prioritized over investments outside of the Atlanta Metro



Poll: What are your residents' priorities?

Mentimeter poll – word cloud:

Please enter three words describing your perception of residents' top priorities for your city's energy policy. (One word per priority.)

Discussion:

Why did you submit the answers that you did?

Importance of deliberate stakeholder engagement

Minneapolis's NRP and the importance of stakeholder-engagement design

Minneapolis's Neighborhood Revitalization Program (1990-2008)



Mechanism: neighborhood organizations, with support from NRP staff...

- Created organizational structure
- Elicited priorities from neighbors
- Developed grant proposals
- Implemented plans



NRP: contrasting neighborhood profiles

Neighborhood Name	Neighborhood Type	Median Household Income 1990	Percent Persons in Poverty 1990	African Americ 1990	African Americ 2000	Caucasian 1990	Caucasian 2000	Hispanic 1990	Hispanic 2000	Native Americ 1990	Native Americ 2000	Asian 1990	Asian 2000	Number Housing Units 1990	% Owner Occ. 1990	% Renter Occ. 1990
Linden Hills	Protection	\$44,424	2%	1%	1%	96%	94%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	3,704	63%	35%
Longfellow	Revitalization	\$28,869	9%	4%	8%	91%	80%	2%	6%	2%	3%	2%	2%	9,654	69%	27%
McKinley	Revitalization	\$24,205	14%	15%	44%	74%	30%	3%	3%	8%	3%	3%	16%	1,260	68%	27%
Phillips	Redirection	\$12,254	39%	21%	29%	46%	32%	4%	22%	23%	12%	8%	6%	7,611	16%	70%
Whittier	Redirection	\$17,325	25%	26%	20%	63%	54%	2%	22%	5%	2%	3%	6%	7,628	9%	79%



NRP: outcomes dominated by homeowner interests





NRP and the importance of deliberate design and management of stakeholder-engagement

In Minneapolis, planning department **staff tended to play a** passive role, inhibited by their belief that democratic planning precluded their taking initiatives and shaping people's opinions. ... The tension between democracy as practiced and equitable outcomes arises because citizen participants usually prefer policies that benefit owners more than renters. In general, **middle-class** homeowners are more likely to participate than lower-income renters and are more effective in doing so.

Susan Fainstein, *The Just City*





24

Who is the community? Expanding from a diversity focus to an inclusion approach

ves More	Climate Justice coalitions	Free-tree "no"s
Fewer <i>Perspectives</i> Diversity	 Community-based service and development organizations Neighborhood, ethnic and social organizations Religious organizations Social justice organizations Youth and recreational organizations Health and environmental organizations Labor organizations Business associations 	"Redirection" neighborhood residents
Fewer Divers	Government agencies Inclusion More Capacity or	Connection Less
	Professional organizations Volunteer organizations	Unorganized (vocal) Unorganized (disengaged)

Multi-stakeholder coalitions

Place-based

Interest-based



Stakeholder engagement at every stage





Good practices for stakeholder engagement





Who to affirmatively recruit?

Income level	É	Low-income communities	Grouping or thresholds connected to earnings of labor and/or capital. Categories typically are defined related to the local/national economy.
Migrant status	I⊕:	Migrants	Refers to the legal and immigration status of a person who changes their place of residence. Categories include locals, expatriates, documented or undocumented migrants, refugees and asylum seekers.
Gender	ŧ	e.g. Women	The socially constructed characteristics of women and men – such as norms, roles and relationships of and between groups of women and men. Categories typically include lesbian, gay, bisexual, transsexual and intersex, and traditional biological sex categories of male and female.
Race and ethnicity	Ö	Racial and ethnic minorities	Race is defined as a category of humankind that shares certain distinctive physical traits. The term ethnicity is more broadly defined as large groups of people classed according to common racial, national, tribal, religious, linguistic, or cultural origin or background.
Religion	\$ \$	Religious minorities	Religious or spiritual belief of preference, regardless of whether or not this belief is represented by an organized group, or affiliation with an organized group having specific religious or spiritual tenets.
Informality status		Informal communities (e.g. residents, workers)	Relationship of individuals, households, activities or firms to the formal or informal economy, typically with respect to production, employment, consumption, housing and/or land.
Disability	Ż	People with disabilities	Persons with disabilities include those who have long-term physical, mental, intellectual or sensory impairments which in interaction with various barriers may hinder their full and effective access to and participation in society on an equal basis with others.
Age	<u>*†</u> ††	Elderly, Youth, Children	Chronological grouping based on years lived
Working conditions		Outdoor workers, temporary workers, workers in transitioning industries	Working conditions cover a broad range of topics and issues, from working time (hours of work, rest periods, and work schedules) to remuneration, as well as the physical conditions and mental demands that exist in the workplace and job stress for workers in transitioning industries (e.g. fossil fuels)



Levels of public engagement

STANCE TOWARDS COMMUNITY	IGNORE	INFORM	CONSULT	INVOLVE	COLLABORATE	DEFER TO
0		2	3			
IMPACT	Marginalization	Placation	Tokenization	Voice	Delegated Power	Community Ownership
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT GOALS	Deny access to decision-making processes	Provide the community with relevant information	Gather input from the community	Ensure community needs and assets are integrated into process & inform planning	Ensure community capacity to play a leadership role in implementation of decisions	Foster democratic participation and equity through community- driven decision- making; Bridge divide between community & governance
MESSAGE TO COMMUNITY	Your voice, needs & interests do not matter	We will keep you informed	We care what you think	You are making us think, (and therefore act) differently about the issue	Your leadership and expertise are critical to how we address the issue	It's time to unlock collective power and capacity for transformative solutions
ACTIVITIES	Closed door meeting Misinformation Systematic	Fact sheets Open Houses Presentations Billboards Videos	Public Comment Focus Groups Community Forums Surveys	Community organizing & advocacy House meetings Interactive workshops Polling Community forums	MOU's with Community-based organizations Community organizing Citizen advisory committees Open Planning Forums with Citizen Polling	Community-driven planning Consensus building Participatory action research Participatory budgeting Cooperatives

Source: Movement Strategy Center, The Spectrum of Community Engagement to Ownership



29

Inclusive governance – low cost, high return

Who is represented in the decision-making bodies for your planning process?

HousingNOLA

- 10-year community-driven housing plan, convened and staffed by nonprofit housing coalition, funded by community foundation
- Executive committee: local foundation, community development NGO, city agency, private bank

King County Mobility Framework Equity Cabinet

- Government convened advisory committee of 23 citizens and equityfocused community orgs
- Developed recommendations on principles and policies and will continue to advise as policymaking progresses
- Include citizens or community organization representatives in Executive Board / Steering Committee
- Create a Citizen Advisory Committee with defined and substantial role
- Provide compensation for community time and focused input, pilots



30

Poll: Stakeholder engagement

Menti-meter poll – multiple short answers :

What stakeholder engagement methods have you or your office used in your community?

Discussion:

What stakeholder engagement methods have worked well? What methods have not worked well, and why? Scenario-based energy planning

Scenario planning: definition

Strategic planning through the systematic generation of and evaluation of—<u>scenarios</u>

> Alternative plausible futures defined by imagining or modeling effects of a predefined set of variables



Scenario planning: general process







4

Assess the current situation

Choose variables for defining scenarios

Choose scenarios by exploring plausible combinations of the variables

Examine and evaluate scenarios

Select indicators that matter. Collect data.

Identify what can be changed

Select changes to test

Identify combination of changes that most improve indicators



Different actions result in different changes



Scenario-based planning: define different scenarios by varying actions, and evaluate those scenarios (and therefore the actions) by the outcomes



Multiple variables—necessary but complicating



CO₂e emitted per capita





CO₂e emitted per capita



Household energy burden

Using multiple indicators is important to assess outcomes. There can be trade-offs between indicators, with some improving while others worsen.



Household energy burden


Choosing equitycentered indicators

Indicators should reflect values and goals

Traditional energy planning

GWh demand GWh generated GWh saved Rates and tariffs Energy reliability

Utility rate of return

Territory scale

Equity-focused energy planning Energy bills Energy burden reduction CO2 reduction Air pollution reduction Spatial & demographic distribution **Others?**



Using data in stakeholder-responsive planning processes

- Data serves the process, not the other way around
 - Data does not define the community or its residents
 - Data does not define options
 - Data does not determine which solutions are best
- Community members do all of these things
- For data to serve the process, they should be
 - Accompanied by info on sources and methods
 - Expressed in meaningful terms and units
 - Subject to examination and acceptance by the stakeholders



How to choose good indicators with stakeholders





Criteria for choosing good indicators

Criterion	Explanation	Weak examples	Strong examples
Measurable	Reflected in attainable, credible, timely data	Public healthEquity	 Asthma incidence Spatial correlation of energy-burden and poverty
Sensitive	Changes measurably in response to city energy-related action	Heat-wave frequencyInterest rate	GHG emissionsPayback period
Relevant	Identified by stakeholders as impacting their lives in important ways	 Progress toward city pledge 	Avg electricity billProgram costs
Distinctive	Does not cover information addressed by the other indicators	 % homes using NG heat/stoves % homes using electric heat/stoves 	 % homes using electric % commercial buildings using electric



Obtaining data

Baseline data: energy indicators and sources

Energy profile	Energy consumption data broken down by end-use sector (transportation, residential and commercial buildings, city and public sector, industry, electricity generation, heating, water, and waste)		
	Load profiles for residential and commercial buildings		
	Industrial-load data for major, local, industrial energy users		
	Local transportation-fleet characteristics (vintage, efficiency, and vehicle type)		
	GHG emissions		
20	Average electricity rates (residential, commercial, and industrial)		
Energy cost	Utility tariff structure		
	Average prevailing heating costs (residential, commercial, and industrial)		
Efficiency, enewables	Efficiency opportunity estimates		
	Wind and solar resource potential		
	Potential for converting waste to energy		
ren	Potential for using biomass		
Utility info	Existing grid-mix by generation type (coal, natural gas, hydro, and non-hydro renewables)		
	Utility type (publicly owned [muni/co-op] vs. investor-owned utility)		
Utilit	Current policies and rates applicable to renewable energy or distributed energy resources (e.g., net energy metering, capacity-based incentives, performance based incentives, local tax incentives)		

Local government

- Sustainability
- Public Works
- Finance
- Licensing
- Revenue

Community organizations

- Service provision
- Needs
- Incidence of problems
- State government
- Energy
- Transportation
- Forestry
- Commissions and task forces

Utilities

- Consumption and generation volumes
- Annual report on source of fuel supply

Local vendors

- Fuels
- Renewables

Other data sources

- EIA State Energy Data System (SEDS)
- Local data as reported to CDP



Baseline data: spatial demographic indicators and sources

Demographic and social-wellbeing indicators

Population size and density, and changes

Race, age, sex profiles

Poverty rate

Housing costs

Rental rates

Transportation modes

Commute times

Health insurance rates

Educational attainment

Incidences of various diseases and disorders

- **US Census Bureau** •
- LEAD (US Dept of Energy)
- Housing + Transportation Affordability . Index (Center for Neighborhood Technology)



Hierarchy of data quality

Locally collected direct data

Locally collected proxy data

Modeled data based on local parameters

Downscaled data

Regional or national data

Example: Electricity consumption data obtained from power utility

Example: Building mix from property data as proxy for stationary energy consumption

Example: VMT modeled from local street lengths, vehicle license data, and/or strip counts

Example: Gasoline consumption downscaled from state gasoline-sales data

Example: Statewide solar-installation cost data



Poll: baseline data

Menti-meter poll – multiple short answers:

What sources of data have you found for energy and sustainability data for your community?

Discussion:

What are your primary challenges in making use of the data you have available?

Case study: Baseline Data on Energy Equity in Atlanta

- Energy Burden
 - % of household income spent on utility
- Atlanta has 4th highest energy burden in U.S.
 - National average is 2.7% of income
 - Atlanta up to 9.6% in some ZIP codes
- Paying utility bills is the #1 reason for taking out a payday loan.



Code



Energy Equity

Wrap-up



(all participants)

- 1. Start stakeholder engagement on indicators
 - What indicators for clean energy action are most important to • stakeholders in your community?
- 2. Share your feedback on this session (5 minutes)
 - Please respond to the poll at https://bit.ly/broadly_feedback_1

Questions? Comments? – contact Eric.Mackres@wri.org



Session 2 – May 28, 3:30-5pm EST

Topics

- Interpreting and communicating baseline data
- Defining and modeling energy-policy scenarios
- Evaluating scenario outcomes

- Make sure the appointment is on your calendar
- Register in advance at <u>https://wri.zoom.us/meeting/register/tJEsceyoqT4pG9bbm916sTV</u> <u>GxD9hBxwn2yNT</u>



Sneak-peak of Level 1 city custom data for Session 2: Interactive baseline energy equity maps

Utility energy burden indicators



Example optional indicators



Use your cursor to scroll over each census block group to understand Minneapolis demogrpahic makeup. Toggling between the number of evictions and number of eviction filings tells two different

explain the number of eviction judgements in which renters were ordered to leave in a given area and year. The number of eviction filings show all eviction cases filed in an area, including multiple cases filed against the same address in the same

Rates of Asthma







Source: Greenlink Analytics

Homework

(Level 1 participants only)

- 1. Before the next session, Greenlink will provide you with a baseline dataset for your city for 2018 (or the most recent year available), which will include:
 - City-wide baseline indicators: Energy consumption and CO2 emissions by sector and fuel
 - Two maps by Census tract of total utility energy burden median burden (% income) & # households in burden
 - Two maps by Census tract of your two chosen optional indicators.
- 2. **Choose two optional indicators** that you would most like mapped (from the list on the next slide)
- 3. By May 6, indicate your choices in the form at <u>http://bit.ly/broadlybeneficial_hw1</u>.
- 4. In preparation for the next session, examine the data for insights into your community, particularly with respect to equity of utility energy burdens.



Optional indicators for mapping: Choose two

Demographics

Population Racial composition Educational attainment Median age

Housing characteristics

Average household size Households with children Number of bedrooms Eviction rates House heating fuel (gas, electricity, renewables, etc.) Mortgage status Median property value Percent of renters in a geography Housing type, rented or owned (single family detached, multifamily, townhouse, etc.)

Transportation characteristics

Means of transportation Travel time to work Average commute to work (in minutes)

Income characteristics

Gini index of income inequality Poverty status Number of individuals utilizing the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Households with living costs exceeding 30% of their income Health characteristics (for select cities) Prevalence of arthritis Prevalence of asthma Prevalence of binge drinking Prevalence of cancer (except skin cancer) Prevalence of cholesterol screening Prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease Prevalence of colonoscopy and/or sigmoidoscopy Prevalence of coronary heart disease Prevalence of current lack of health insurance Prevalence of diabetes Prevalence of high blood pressure Prevalence of high cholesterol Prevalence of kidney disease Prevalence of no leisure time physical activity Prevalence of loss of teeth Prevalence of mammography use Prevalence of mental health of not good for equal to or greater than 14 days Prevalence of obesity Prevalence of PAP smear use Prevalence of poor physical health Prevalence of sleeping less than 7 hours Prevalence of smoking Prevalence of stroke Prevalence of taking medication for high blood pressure Prevalence of visits to the dentist Prevalence of visits to doctors for routine checkups within the past year



See you in a few weeks!

Thanks to our partners:





anadian urban ustainability practitioners

And advisors and reviewers:

- Allison Ashcroft, Canadian Urban Sustainability Practicioners
- Matt Cox, Greenlink Analytics
- Julie Curti, Metropolitan Area Planning Council (Boston)
- Megan Day, National Renewable Energy Laboratory
- Alex Dane, Natalie Elwell & Devashree Saha, World Resources Institute
- Denise Fairchild, Emerald Cities Collaborative
- Anthony Giancatarino, Movement Strategy Innovation Center
- Rebecca Kiernan, City of Pittsburgh



